Thomas Simek, pro hac vice pending 1 tsimek@cftc.gov 2 James M. Humphrey IV, pro hac vice pending jhumphrey@cftc.gov 3 Attorneys for Plaintiff COMMODITY FUTURES 4 TRADING COMMISSION 4900 Main Street, Suite 500 5 Kansas City, MO 64112 (816) 960-7700 6 Local Counsel: 7 Kent A. Kawakami, CA Bar # 149803 kent.kawakami@usdoj.gov 8 United States Attorney's Office Central District of California 9 300 N. Los Angeles Street, Room 7516 10 Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 894-4858 11 12 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION 14 15 16 COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION. 17 18 Plaintiff, 19 v. 20 SCOTT ALLENSWORTH, individually 21 and d/b/a CAPITAL GROWTH 22 GROUP ASSOCIATES AND COBRA **DEVELOPMENT GROUP LLP:** 23 ROBERT J. FUSCO; DAVID 24 WEDDLE; and E-SLATE, INC. d/b/a

COBRA DEVELOPMENT GROUP

25

26

27

28

LLP.

Defendants.

FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT October 3, 2017 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
BY: VRV DEPUTY

Civil Action No. 2:17-CV-07102-CBM (JPRx)

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE EMERGENCY MOTION FOR STATUTORY RESTRAINING ORDER, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE **REGARDING PRELIMINARY** INJUNCTION, AND OTHER **EOUITABLE RELIEF** 

Plaintiff Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("CFTC" or "Commission"), has filed a Complaint for Injunctive and Other Equitable Relief and for Civil Monetary Penalties Under the Commodity Exchange Act ("Act") and Commission Regulations ("Regulations") ("the Complaint") against Defendants Scott Allensworth, individually and d/b/a Capital Growth Group Associates and Cobra Development Group LLP ("Allensworth"); Robert J. Fusco ("Fusco"); and E-Slate, Inc. d/b/a Cobra Development Group LLP ("E-Slate"). The Commission has moved, pursuant to 6c(a) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1(a) (2012), for an *ex parte* statutory restraining order, an order to show cause regarding preliminary injunction, and other equitable relief. The Court has considered the pleadings, declarations, exhibits, and memorandum filed in support of the Commission's motion, and finds that:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties and over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (2012) and 28 U.S.C. § 1345 (2012). Section 6c of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2012), authorizes the Commission to seek injunctive and other relief against any person whenever it appears to the Commission that such person has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in any act or practice constituting a violation of any provision of the Act or any rule, regulation or order thereunder.
- 2. Venue lies properly within this District pursuant to Section 6c(e) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. 13a-1(e) (2012).
- 3. There is good cause to believe that Defendants Allensworth, Fusco, and E-Slate (collectively "Defendants") have engaged, are engaging, or are about to engage in violations of the Act and Regulations. Specifically, it appears that Defendants violated Sections 4b(a)(1)(A)-(C) and 4o(1)(A) and (B) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 6b(a)(1)(A)-(C), 6o(1)(A), (B) (2012); Fusco violated Regulation 4.20(b) and (c), 17 C.F.R. § 4.20(b), (c) (2017); Fusco violated 4m(1) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6m(1) (2012); and

Allensworth, Fusco, and E-Slate violated Section 4k(2)(i) of the Act, 7 U.S.C. § 6k(2)(i) (2012) and Regulation 3.12(a), 17 C.F.R. §§ 3.12(a) (2017).

- 4. There is good cause to believe that Defendants are actively concealing from pool participants their fraudulent solicitation of investments in commodity pools that purported to trade commodity futures contracts and their misappropriation of funds contributed by pool participants. There is good cause to believe that from at least December 2013 to the present ("Relevant Period"), Defendants made material misrepresentations and fraudulent omissions to existing and prospective pool participants regarding, among other things, that all invested funds would be traded in commodity futures and returns would be generated by the trading profits, the pool was consistently profitable during the Relevant Period, and all invested funds would be used to trade, when funds were instead misappropriated by Defendants for their own use.
- 5. There is good cause to believe that immediate and irreparable damage to the Court's ability to grant effective final relief for pool participants in the form of monetary redress will occur from the sale, transfer, assignment, or other disposition by Defendants of assets or records unless Defendants are immediately restrained and enjoined by Order of the Court.
- 6. There is good cause for the Court to freeze assets owned, controlled, managed, or held by or on behalf of, or for the benefit of Defendants, including assets in accounts held by E-Slate, DTG LLC, and any other entities owned or controlled by Defendants, and assets in accounts held by Allensworth under the name CGGA or the name Cobra Development Group LLP.
- 7. There is good cause for entry of an order prohibiting Defendants, their agents, servants, employees, assigns, attorneys, and persons in active

- concert or participation with the Defendants, including any successor thereof, from destroying records and/or denying agents of the Commission access to inspect and copy records to ensure that Commission representatives have immediate and complete access to those books and records.
- 8. Absent the entry of this statutory restraining order, the Defendants are likely to dissipate or transfer assets.
- 9. This is a proper case for granting a statutory restraining order *ex parte* to preserve the status quo, protect members of the public from loss and damage, and enable the Commission to fulfill its statutory duties.
- 10. It appears the interest of justice requires that the ex parte application be heard without notice, and therefore the notice requirement of L.R. 7-19.1 is waived. *See* L.R. 7-19.2.
- 11. Therefore the Court orders as follows:

### **DEFINITIONS**

For purposes of this Order, the following definitions apply:

- 12. The term "assets" means any legal or equitable interest in, right to, or claim to, any real or personal property, whether individually or jointly, directly or indirectly controlled, and wherever located, including but not limited to: chattels, goods, instruments, equipment, fixtures, general intangibles, effects, leaseholds mail or other deliveries, inventory, checks, notes, accounts (including, but not limited to, bank accounts and accounts at other financial institutions), credits, receivables, lines of credit, contracts (including spot, futures, options, or swaps contracts), insurance policies, and all cash, wherever located, whether in the United States or outside the United States.
- 13. The term "document" is synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the

usage of the term in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Fed. R. Civ. P.") 34(a), and includes, but is not limited to, writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, audio and video recordings, computer records, and other data compilations from which information can be obtained and translated, if necessary, through detection devices into reasonably usable form. A draft or non-identical copy is a separate document within the meaning of the term. A document also includes the file and folder tabs associated with each original and copy.

14. "Defendants" as used in this Order refers to Allensworth, Fusco, and E-Slate, as well as any other person insofar as he or she is acting in the capacity of an officer, agent, servant, employee, or attorney of any Defendant and any person who receives actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise insofar as he or she is acting in concert or participation with any Defendant. "Defendants" also refers to any d/b/a, successor, affiliate, subsidiary, or other entity owned, controlled, managed, or held by, or on behalf of, or for the benefit of Allensworth, Fusco, and/or E-Slate.

### RELIEF GRANTED

T.

## Order Prohibiting the Transfer, Removal, Dissipation, and Disposal of Assets

### **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

15. Defendants and their agents, servants, employees, assigns, attorneys, and persons in active concert or participation with them, including any successors thereof, who receive actual notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise, are immediately restrained and enjoined, except as otherwise ordered by this Court, from directly or indirectly: withdrawing,

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

- transferring, removing, dissipating, or disposing of any assets, wherever located, including Defendants' assets held outside the United States;
- 16. The assets affected by this Order shall include both existing assets and assets acquired after the effective date of this Order.

### II.

### **Maintenance of and Access to Business Records**

### IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

17. Defendants, and their agents, servants, employees, assigns, attorneys, and persons in active concert or participation with them, including any successors thereof, and all persons or entities who receive notice of this Order by personal service or otherwise, are immediately restrained and enjoined from directly or indirectly destroying, altering, or disposing of, in any manner, directly or indirectly, any documents that relate to the business practices or business or personal finances of any Defendant.

### III.

## **Inspection and Copying of Books and Records**

### IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

- 18. Defendants are prohibited from refusing to permit representatives of the Commission to immediately inspect the books, records, and other documents of the Defendants and their agents including, but not limited to, paper documents, electronically stored information, tape recordings, and computer discs, wherever they may be situated and whether they are in the possession of the Defendants.
- 19. Defendants shall, within 24 hours of the issuance of this Order, cause to be prepared and delivered to the Commission, a detailed and complete schedule of all passwords and identification (ID) numbers for all websites

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

28

and all accounts at any bank, financial institution or brokerage firm (including any introducing broker or futures commission merchant) operated by or to which any of the Defendants has access.

20. Defendants shall, within 24 hours of the issuance of this Order, cause to be prepared and delivered to the Commission, a detailed and complete schedule of all desktop computers, laptop computers, and/or mobile devices owned and/or used by them in connection with their business. The schedules required by this section shall include at a minimum the make, model, and description of each, along with the location, the name of the person primarily assigned to use the computer and/or PDA, and all passwords necessary to access and use the software contained on the computer and/or PDA.

### IV.

### **Bond Not Required of Plaintiff**

### IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

21. As Plaintiff Commission is an agency of the United States of America which has made a proper showing under Section 6c(b), 7 U.S.C. § 13a-1 (2012), this restraining order is granted without bond. Accordingly, the Commission need not post a bond.

#### $\mathbf{V}_{\bullet}$

### Service

### IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

22. Copies of this Order may be served by any means, including electronic mail or any other electronic communication, upon any financial institution or other entity or person that may have possession, custody, or control of any documents or assets of any Defendant, or that may be subject to any

- provision of this Order.
- 23. Staff of the Commission's Division of Enforcement, including Thomas Simek, James M. Humphrey IV, Elsie Robinson, Christopher Reed, Christopher Beatty, Salma Mack, or representatives thereof, representatives of the United States Marshals Service, and representatives of any state or local law enforcement agencies in the jurisdictions in which any Defendant resides, are specially appointed by the Court to effect service.
- 24. The United States Marshals service, as well as any representative of any state or local law enforcement agencies in the jurisdiction in which any defendant resides, is authorized to assist Commission representatives in effecting service of the Order, summons, and Complaint upon defendants as set out in this Order and in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 4, and in carrying out the seizure of documents as authorized in this Order.
- 25. Furthermore, service of the Order, summons, and Complaint on corporate entities may be effected by serving the Summons, Complaint, or other process via overnight delivery to the registered agent of said corporate entities.

### VI.

### Service on the Commission

### IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

26. The Defendants shall comply with all electronic filing rules and requirements of the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California and shall serve all pleadings, correspondence, notices required by this Order, and other materials on the Commission by delivering a copy to Thomas Simek, Chief Trial Attorney, Division of Enforcement, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, 4900 Main Street, Suite 500, Kansas City, Missouri 64112, by electronic filing, e-mail, personal delivery, courier

## 3

### 4

# 5

# 6

# 7

## 8 9

# 10

# 11

## 12 13

## 14

## 15

## 16

## 17

## 18

## 19 20

## 21

## 22

- 23
- 24
- 25 ///
- /// 26
- 27
- 28 ///

### VII.

### **Order to Show Cause**

### IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

- 27. Defendants Allensworth, Fusco, and E-Slate shall appear before this Court on the 17th day of October, 2017, at 10:00 a.m. before the Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall, Courtroom 8b, at the United States Courthouse for the Central District of California, located at 350 W. First Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, to show cause, if there be any, why an Order for Preliminary Injunction should not be granted to prohibit further violations of the Act and Regulations and why this Court should not continue the freeze on the assets of the Defendants and order any additional relief this Court deems appropriate pending trial on the merits of this action. Should any party wish to file a memorandum of law or other papers concerning the issuance of a preliminary injunction against the Defendants, such materials shall be filed, served, and received by all parties no later than October 10, 2017. Any reply papers shall be served and filed no later than October 12, 2017. Service of all papers shall be by electronic mail, facsimile, or personal service.
- ///
- ///
- ///
- ///

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

### VIII.

## **Force and Effect**

### IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that:

28. This Order shall remain in full force and effect until further order of this Court, and this Court retains jurisdiction of this matter for all purposes.

### IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 3, 2017.

HON. CONSUELO MARSHALL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE