UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Before the COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

RECEIVED CFTC

| | : |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| MARIA ANGELICA BACLINI, | : |
| HECTOR HUGO SANDIANO, JORGE NELSON | : |
| BATALLES, JUAN BAUTISTA ALBERTENGO, | : |
| GERADO JOSE TEDESCHI, NORA ALICIA | : |
| CANTONI, DAMIAN MARTINUCCI, | : |
| EDGARDO MAXIMO GEMINELLI, PEDRO & | : |
| MARIA MOGETTA, GUSTAVO | : |
| REDMONDINO, CHRISTINE WAGNER, | : |
| MARIA & GABRIEL LAZZARINI, | : |
| GUILLERMO DE LA TORRE & ADRIANA | : |
| SEBASTIANELLI, NORA & GEORGINA | : |
| REMONDINO, DANIELA CORNET, JOSE LUIS | : |
| GINITRINI, ALBERTO RAUL PESAOLA, | : |
| CARLOS MARCELO FARRUGGIA, RAQUEL | : |
| NORA ROTTAI & ANTONIO GUSTAVO | : |
| TRILLO, EDGARDO MAXIMO GEMINELLI, | : |
| PEDRO & MARIA MOGETTA, | : |
| | : |
| v . | : |
| | : |
| | : |
| CITIGROUP GLOBAL MARKETS, INC., and | : |
| · · · | |

DALILA COSTA-LEROY

CFTC Docket Nos. 07-R036; 07-R037; 07-R038; 07-R039; 07-R040; 07-R041; 07-R042; 07-R043; 07-R044; 07-R045; 07-R046; 07-R047; 07-R048; 07-R049; and 07-R050

ORDER OF SUMMARY AFFIRMANCE

Our review of the record and the complainants' appellate submission establishes that the Administrative Law Judge committed no error material to the outcome of the proceedings and that the findings of the Administrative Law Judge are supported by the weight of the evidence; we therefore adopt them. In addition, the complainants have not raised important questions of law or policy that merit extended discussion. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 14 of the Commodity Exchange Act and Commission Regulation 12.406(b), we summarily affirm the

initial decision and order of the Administrative Law Judge without opinion.¹

IT IS SO ORDERED.²

By the Commission (Chairman GENSLER and Commissioners CHILTON, O'MALIA and WETJEN).

Melissa D. Jurgens Secretary of the Commission Commodity Futures Trading Commission

Dated: October 18, 2013

¹ Pursuant to Commission Regulation 12.406(b), 17 C.F.R. § 406(b), neither the initial decision nor the Commission's order of summary affirmance shall serve as a Commission precedent in other proceedings.

² Under Sections 6(c) and 14(e) of the Commodity Exchange Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 9 and 18(e)(2000), a party may appeal a reparation order of the Commission to the United States Court of Appeals for only the circuit in which a hearing was held; if no hearing was held, the appeal may be filed in any circuit in which the appellee is located. The Commission has ruled that telephonic hearings are "held" in Washington, D.C., although parties may speak from several different locations. *Dubois v. Alaron*, [2000-2002 Transfer Binder] Comm. Fut. L. Rep. (CCH) ¶ 28,406 at 51,027 n.17 (CFTC Oct. 26, 2000). The statute also states that such an appeal must be filed within 15 days after notice of the order and that any appeal is not effective unless, within 30 days of the date of the Commission order, the appealing party files with the court a bond equal to double the amount of any reparation award.